

INCOMMON FEDERATION: PARTICIPANT OPERATIONAL PRACTICES

Participation in InCommon Federation (“Federation”) enables the participant to use Shibboleth *identity attribute* sharing technologies to manage access to on-line resources that can be made available to the InCommon community. One goal of the Federation is to develop, over time, community standards for such cooperating organizations to ensure that shared *attribute assertions* are sufficiently robust and trustworthy to manage access to important protected resources. As the community of trust evolves, the Federation expects that participants eventually should be able to trust each other's *identity management systems* and *resource access management systems* as they trust their own.

A fundamental expectation of InCommon Participants is that they provide authoritative and accurate attribute assertions to other participants and that participants receiving an attribute assertion protect it and respect privacy constraints placed on it by the Federation or the source of that information. In furtherance of this goal, InCommon requires that each participant make available to other participants certain basic information about any identity management system, including the identity attributes that are supported, or resource access management system that they register for use within the Federation.

Two criteria for trustworthy attribute assertions by *Credential Providers* are: (1) that the identity management system fall under the purview of the organization's executive or business management, and (2) the system for issuing end-user credentials (e.g. PKI certificates, userids/passwords, Kerberos principals, etc.) specifically have in place appropriate risk management measures (for example *authentication* and *authorization* standards, security practices, risk assessment, change management controls, audit trails, etc.).

InCommon expects that *Resource Providers*, who receive attribute assertions from another organization, respect the other organization's policies, rules and standards regarding the protection and use of that data. Furthermore, such information should be used only for the purposes for which it was provided. InCommon strongly discourages the sharing of that data with third parties, or aggregation of it for marketing purposes without the explicit permission¹ of the identity information provider.

InCommon requires participating organizations to make available to all other InCommon Participants answers to the questions below.² Additional information to help answer each question is available in the next section of this document. There is also a glossary at the end of this document that defines terms shown in italics.

1 Such permission already might be implied by existing contractual agreements.

2 Your responses to these questions must be submitted to InCommon and should be posted in a readily accessible place on your web site. If not posted, you should post contact information for an office that can discuss it privately with other InCommon Participants as needed. If any of the information changes, you must update your on-line statement as soon as possible and also resubmit it to InCommon.

1. Federation Participant Information

1. The InCommon Participant Operational Practices information below is for: InCommon Participant organization name: **University of California, Berkeley**

The information below is accurate as of this date: **2008-01-01**

2. Identity Management and/or Privacy information

Additional information about the Participant's identity management practices and/or privacy policy regarding personal information can be found on-line at the following location(s).

URL(s): **https://calnet.berkeley.edu/**

3. Contact information: The following person or office can answer questions about the Participant's identity management system or resource access management policy or practice.

Name: **Dedra Chamberlin**

Title or role: **Infrastructure Applications - Security Applications Manager**

Email address: **dedra@berkeley.edu**

Phone FAX: **510-642-8706**

2. Credential Provider Information

The most critical responsibility that a Credential Provider Participant has to the Federation is to provide trustworthy and accurate identity assertions.³ It is important for a Resource Provider to know how your *electronic identity credentials* are issued and how reliable the information associated with a given credential (or person) is known.

Community

1. If you are a Credential Provider, how do you define the set of people who are eligible to receive an *electronic identity*? **Current faculty, staff, students, and sponsored affiliates of UC Berkeley are all eligible for identities locally termed "CalNet IDs".**

If exceptions to this definition are allowed, who must approve such an exception? **Exceptions are approved by the CalNet Steering committee, the campus policy oversight committee for the central identity management group, CalNet IdM.**

2. "Member of Community"⁴ is an assertion that might be offered to enable access to resources

3 The documents "InCommon: Assertion Reliability" and "InCommon: Attribute Assertion Levels of Assurance" discuss how authentication policies and practices might affect the appropriate use of identity assertions you might make. See <http://www.incommonfederation.org/docs/policies/>

4 "Member" is one possible value for eduPersonAffiliation as defined in the eduPerson schema. It is intended to include faculty, staff, student, and other persons with a basic set of privileges that go with membership in the university community (e.g.,

made available to individuals who participate in the primary mission of the university or organization. For example, this assertion might apply to anyone whose affiliation is “current student, faculty, or staff.”

What subset of persons registered in your identity management system would you identify as a “Member of Community” in Shibboleth identity assertions to other InCommon participants?

All persons registered as active in our identity management system would be identified as a "Member" of the UC Berkeley community.

Electronic Identity Credentials

3. Please describe in general terms the administrative process used to establish an electronic identity that results in a record for that person being created in your *electronic identity database*? Please identify the office(s) of record for this purpose. For example, “Registrar’s Office for students; HR for faculty and staff.”

Office of Record: Registrar's Office for students; HR for faculty, staff, and affiliates.

Faculty, Staff, and Affiliates are assigned an ID when they are added to the UC Berkeley HR system. That ID is also the CalNet ID, which is created initially in a disabled state. The person must then go to a CalNet Deputy and establish his/her identity. The Deputy issues a token which the person uses to sign in and reset the passphrase to enable the account.

Students are assigned a Student ID, which is the CalNet ID, and a PIN number when they are admitted to UC Berkeley. The first time they use the CalNet ID, they are required to change the PIN to a valid passphrase.

4. What technologies are used for your electronic identity credentials (e.g. Kerberos, userID/password, PKI, ...) that may be used with InCommon actions?
MIT Kerberos and Microsoft Active Directory KDCs for passphrase repositories.
If more than one type of electronic credential is issued, how is it determined who receives which type? If multiple credentials are linked, how is this managed (e.g. anyone with a Kerberos credential also can acquire a PKI credential) and recorded?
5. If your electronic identity credentials require the use of a secret password or PIN, and there are circumstances in which that secret would be transmitted across a network without being protected by encryption (i.e. “clear text passwords” are used when accessing campus services), please identify who in your organization can discuss with any other Participant concerns that this might raise for them: **N/A**

library privileges). “Member of Community” could be derived from other values in eduPersonAffiliation or assigned explicitly as “Member” in the electronic identity database. See <http://www.educause.edu/eduperson/>

6. If you support a “single sign-on” (SSO) or similar campus-wide system to allow a single user authentication action to serve multiple applications and you will make use of this to authenticate people for InCommon Resource Providers, please describe the key security aspects of your SSO system including whether session timeouts are enforced by the system, whether user-initiated session termination is supported, and how use with “public access sites” is protected.

UC Berkeley implements SSO via the JA-SIG CAS server, which is branded as CalNet CAS. The CalNet CAS has an 8-hour session timeout, and also uses session cookies for the ticket granting ticket (TGT) cookie.

The 8-hour timeout means that any CAS session that is older than 8 hours will be deemed expired. If a session (determined via a user's TGT) is over 8 hours old, the user will have to reenter his/her credentials the next time the user tries to access a SSO resource.

The TGT cookie is destroyed when a user closes his/her web browser, thereby ending the CAS session for that user. The next time the user tries to access a SSO resource, CAS will ask the user for his/her credentials.

7. Are your primary *electronic identifiers* for people, such as “net ID,” eduPerson EPPN, or eduPersonTargetedID considered to be unique for all time to the individual to whom they are assigned? **Yes.**

If not, what is your policy for re-assignment and is there a hiatus between such reuse? **N/A**

Electronic Identity Database

8. How is information in your electronic identity database acquired and updated?

Authoritative user data is securely provided to the CalNet Directory by Student Systems, Human Resources, and University Relations, and regularly updated.

Are specific offices designated by your administration to perform this function?

Yes. In addition to the central identity management unit (CalNet IdM), specific users are trained and provided “deputy” roles that allow them to assist users with common account administration problems such as password resets.

Are individuals allowed to update their own information on-line?

Yes. Once a user is loaded into the directory, the user has the ability to update some of their non-critical personal information.

9. What information in this database is considered “public information” and would be provided to any interested party?

Publicly available Directory information is limited to very general data elements such as “names”, “phone numbers”, “email addresses”, and general campus affiliations. A complete list of publicly available Directory data is available at the CalNet Developer Website at:

<https://calnet.berkeley.edu/developers/design/schema/attributesv2-people.html>

Your Uses of Your Electronic Identity Credential System

10. Please identify typical classes of applications for which your electronic identity credentials are used within your own organization?

Identity account management, HR data management, instructional content management, software distribution, collaboration tools

Attribute Assertions

Attributes are the information data elements in an attribute assertion you might make to another Federation participant concerning the identity of a person in your identity management system.

11. Would you consider your attribute assertions to be reliable enough to:

control access to on-line information databases licensed to your organization?

be used to purchase goods or services for your organization?

enable access to personal information such as student loan status?

Privacy Policy

Federation participants must respect the legal and organizational privacy constraints on attribute information provided by other participants and use it only for its intended purposes.

12. What restrictions do you place on the use of attribute information that you might provide to other Federation participants?

Information must be used only for the purpose it has been provided. It must not be aggregated or provided to any third party without permission of the University of California, Berkeley.

13. What policies govern the use of attribute information that you might release to other Federation participants? For example, is some information subject to FERPA or HIPAA restrictions?

All attributes subject to FERPA and HIPAA restrictions are protected and require per-application approval for to any protected (or private) attributes. Each requesting application is approved access based upon an assessment of its function, data-stewardship, and security model. All “privileged” applications must be officially sponsored by a campus department.

3. Resource Provider Information

Resource Providers are trusted to ask for only the information necessary to make an appropriate access control decision, and to not misuse information provided to them by Credential Providers. Resource Providers must describe the basis on which access to resources is managed and their practices with respect

to attribute information they receive from other Participants.

1. What attribute information about an individual do you require in order to manage access to resources you might make available to other Participants? Describe separately for each resource ProviderID that you have registered.

<https://ucready.berkeley.edu/shibboleth-sp>

<https://ucready-qa.berkeley.edu/shibboleth-sp>

<https://ucready-dev.berkeley.edu/shibboleth-sp>

UCReady requires a string that uniquely identifies a user of the application within their campus context. The eduPerson Principal Name (ePPN) attribute will satisfy this requirement.

eduPerson Principal Name (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.5923.1.1.1.6)

UCReady will also request the following attributes for application users:

givenName (OID: 2.5.4.42)

sn (OID: 2.5.4.4)

title (OID: 2.5.4.12)

mail (OID: 0.9.2342.19200300.100.1.3)

telephoneNumber (OID: 2.5.4.20)

eduPersonAffiliation (OID: 1.3.6.1.4.1.5923.1.1.1.1)

2. What use do you make of attribute information that you receive in addition to basic access control decisions? For example, do you aggregate session access records or records of specific information accessed based on attribute information, or make attribute information available to partner organizations, etc.?

The attributes are saved as part of a user profile within the application and can be displayed and modified on an application web page. Access logging is recorded using an internal application user identifier not the ePPN, although the application database can be used to link the internal ID back to the ePPN. No data is aggregated or shared with any outside party. None of the attributes used by this application are considered confidential, sensitive or restricted according to UC Berkeley policy.

3. What human and technical controls are in place on access to and use of attribute information that might refer to only one specific person, i.e. personally identifiable information? For example, is this information encrypted?

The attributes are stored in an application database table which is protected by database-level security, DBMS server-level security, database firewall and Unix

server security.

4. Describe the human and technical controls that are in place on the management of super-user and other privileged accounts that might have the authority to grant access to personally identifiable information?

Within the application, an account with administrator role can only view user attributes and cannot grant access to other application users.

5. If personally identifiable information is compromised, what actions do you take to notify potentially affected individuals?

UCReady does not store any personally identifiable information that requires notification if disclosed, as defined by campus policy "Minimum Security Standards for Electronic Information", issued September 1, 2008

4. Other Information

1. Technical Standards, Versions and Interoperability

Identify the version of Internet2 Shibboleth code release that you are using or, if not using the standard Shibboleth code, what version(s) of the SAML and SOAP and any other relevant standards you have implemented for this purpose.

2. Other Considerations

Are there any other considerations or information that you wish to make known to other Federation participants with whom you might interoperate, e.g., concern about the use of clear text passwords or responsibilities in case of a security breach involving identity information you may have provided?

Additional Notes and Details on the Operational Practices Questions

As a community of organizations willing to manage access to on-line resources cooperatively, and often without formal contracts in the case of non-commercial resources, it is essential that each participant have a good understanding of the *identity* and resource management practices implemented by other participants. The purpose of the questions above is to establish a base level of common understanding by making this information available for other participants to evaluate.

In answering these questions, please consider what you would want to know about your own operations if you were another participant deciding what level of trust to place in interactions with your on-line systems. For example:

- What would you need to know about a *Credential Provider* in order to make an informed decision whether to accept their *assertions* to manage access to your on-line resources or applications?
- What would you need to know about a *Resource Provider* in order to feel confident providing it information that it might not otherwise be able to have?

It also might help to consider how *identity management systems* within a single institution could be used among its organizations.

- What might your central campus IT organization, as a *Resource Provider*, ask of a peer campus *Credential Provider* (e.g. Computer Science Department, central Library, or Medical Center) in order to decide whether to accept its *identity assertions* for access to resources that the IT organization controls?
- What might a campus department ask about the central campus *identity management system* if the department wanted to leverage it for use with its own applications?

The numbered paragraphs below provide additional background to the numbered questions in the main part of this document.

[1.2] InCommon Credential Providers are strongly encouraged to post on their web site the privacy and information security policies that govern their *identity management system*. Resource Providers are strongly encouraged to post their policies with respect to use of personally identifying information.

[1.3] Other InCommon Participants may wish to contact this person or office with further questions about the information you have provided or if they wish to establish a more formal relationship with your organization regarding resource sharing.

[2] Many organizations have very informal processes for issuing electronic credentials. For example, one campus does this through their student bookstore. A *Resource Provider* may be more willing to accept your *assertions* to the extent that this process can be seen as authoritative.

[2.1] It is important for a *Resource Provider* to have some idea of the community whose identities you may represent. This is particularly true for *assertions* such as the eduPerson “Member of Community” or “student,” etc. A typical definition might be “Faculty, staff, and active students” but it might also include alumni, prospective students, temporary employees, visiting scholars, etc. In addition, there may be formal or informal mechanisms for making exceptions to this definition, e.g. to accommodate a former student still finishing a thesis or an unpaid volunteer.

This question asks to whom you, as a *Credential Provider*, will provide electronic credentials. This is

typically broadly defined so that the organization can accommodate a wide variety of applications locally. The reason this question is important is to distinguish between the set of people who might have a credential that you issue and the subset of those people who fall within your definition of “Member of Community” for the purpose of InCommon *attribute assertions*.

[2.2] The *assertion* of “Member of Community” is often good enough for deciding whether to grant access to basic on-line resources, e.g. library-like materials or web sites. InCommon encourages participants to use this *assertion* only for “Faculty, Staff, and active Students” but some organizations may have the need to define this differently. InCommon *Resource Providers* need to know if has been defined differently.

[2.3] For example, if there is a campus recognized office of record that issues such electronic credentials and that office makes use of strong, reliable technology and good database management practices, those factors might indicate highly reliable credentials and hence trustworthy *identity assertions*.

[2.4] Different technologies carry different inherent risks. For example, a userID and password can be shared or “stolen” rather easily. A PKI credential or SecureID card is much harder to share or steal. For practical reasons, some campuses use one technology for student credentials and another for faculty and staff. In some cases sensitive applications will warrant stronger and/or secondary credentials.

[2.5] Sending passwords in “clear text” is a significant risk and all InCommon Participants are strongly encouraged to eliminate any such practice. Unfortunately this may be difficult, particularly with legacy applications. For example, gaining access to a centralized calendar application via a wireless data connection while you are attending a conference might reveal your password to many others at that conference. If this is also your campus credential password, it could be used by another person to impersonate you to InCommon Participants.

[2.6] “Single sign-on” (SSO) is a method that allows a user to unlock their *electronic identity credential* once and then use it for access to a variety of resources and applications for some period of time. This avoids people having to remember many different identifiers and passwords or to continually log into and out of systems. However, it also may weaken the link between an *electronic identity* and the actual person to whom it refers because someone else might be able to use the same computer and assume the former user’s *identity*. If there is no limit on the duration of a SSO session, a Federation *Resource Provider* may be concerned about the validity of any *identity assertions* you might make. Therefore it is important to ask about your use of SSO technologies.

[2.7] In some *identity management systems*, primary identifiers for people might be reused, particularly if they contain common names, e.g. Jim Smith@MYU.edu. This can create ambiguity if a *Resource Provider* requires this primary identifier to manage access to resources for that person.

[2.8] Security of the database that holds information about a person is at least as critical as the *electronic identity credentials* that provide the links to records in that database. Appropriate security for the database, as well as management and audit trails of changes made to that database, and management of access to that database information are important.

[2.9] Many organizations will make available to anyone certain, limited “public information.” Other information may be given only to internal organization users or applications, or may require permission from the subject under FERPA or HIPAA rules. A *Resource Provider* may need to know what

information you are willing to make available as “public information” and what rules might apply to other information that you might release.

[2.10] In order to help a *Resource Provider* assess how reliable your *identity assertions* may be, it is helpful to know how your organization uses those same assertions. The assumption here is that you are or will use the same *identity management system* for your own applications as you are using for InCommon purposes.

[2.11] Your answer to this question indicates the degree of confidence you have in the accuracy of your *identity assertions*.

[2.12] Even “public information” may be constrained in how it can be used. For example, creating a marketing email list by “harvesting” email addresses from a campus directory web site may be considered illicit use of that information. Please indicate what restrictions you place on information you make available to others.

[2.13] Please indicate what legal or other external constraints there may be on information you make available to others.

[3.1] Please identify your access management requirements to help other Participants understand and plan for use of your resource(s). You might also or instead provide contact information for an office or person who could answer inquiries.

[3.2] As a *Resource Provider*, please declare what use(s) you would make of attribute information you receive.

[3.3] Personally identifying information can be a wide variety of things, not merely a name or credit card number. All information other than large group identity, e.g. “member of community,” should be protected while resident on your site.

[3.4] Certain functional positions can have extraordinary privileges with respect to information on your systems. What oversight means are in place to ensure incumbents do not misuse such privileges?

[3.5] Occasionally protections break down and information is compromised. Some states have laws requiring notification of affected individuals. What legal and/or institutional policies govern notification of individuals if information you hold is compromised?

[4.1] Most InCommon Participants will use Internet2 Shibboleth technology but this is not required. It may be important for other participants to understand whether you are using other implementations of the technology standards.

[4.2] As a *Credential Provider*, you may wish to place constraints on the kinds of applications that may make use of your *assertions*. As a *Resource Provider*, you may wish to make a statement about how User credentials must be managed. This question is completely open ended and for your use.

Glossary

access management system	The collection of systems and or services associated with specific on-line resources and/or services that together derive the decision about whether to allow a given individual to gain access to those resources or make use of those services.
assertion	The <i>identity</i> information provided by a <i>Credential Provider</i> to a <i>Resource Provider</i> .
attribute	A single piece of information associated with an <i>electronic identity database</i> record. Some <i>attributes</i> are general; others are personal. Some subset of all <i>attributes</i> defines a unique individual.
authentication	The process by which a person verifies or confirms their association with an <i>electronic identifier</i> . For example, entering a password that is associated with an UserID or account name is assumed to verify that the user is the person to whom the UserID was issued.
authorization	The process of determining whether a specific person should be allowed to gain access to an application or function, or to make use of a resource. The resource manager then makes the access control decision, which also may take into account other factors such as time of day, location of the user, and/or load on the resource system.
Credential Provider	A campus or other organization that manages and operates an <i>identity management system</i> and offers information about members of its community to other InCommon participants.
electronic identifier	A string of characters or structured data that may be used to reference an <i>electronic identity</i> . Examples include an email address, a user account name, a Kerberos principal name, a UC or campus <i>NetID</i> , an employee or student ID, or a PKI certificate.
electronic identity	A set of information that is maintained about an individual, typically in campus <i>electronic identity databases</i> . May include roles and privileges as well as personal information. The information must be authoritative to the applications for which it will be used.
electronic identity	An <i>electronic identifier</i> and corresponding <i>personal secret</i> associated with an <i>electronic identity</i> . An <i>electronic identity credential</i> typically is issued to

credential	the person who is the subject of the information to enable that person to gain access to applications or other resources that need to control such access.
electronic identity database	A structured collection of information pertaining to a given individual. Sometimes referred to as an "enterprise directory." Typically includes name, address, email address, affiliation, and <i>electronic identifier(s)</i> . Many technologies can be used to create an <i>identity database</i> , for example LDAP or a set of linked relational databases.
identity	<i>Identity</i> is the set of information associated with a specific physical person or other entity. Typically a Credential Provider will be authoritative for only a subset of a person's <i>identity</i> information. What <i>identity attributes</i> might be relevant in any situation depend on the context in which it is being questioned.
identity management system	A set of standards, procedures and technologies that provide electronic credentials to individuals and maintain authoritative information about the holders of those credentials.
NetID	An <i>electronic identifier</i> created specifically for use with on-line applications. It is often an integer and typically has no other meaning.
personal secret (also verification token)	Used in the context of this document, is synonymous with password, pass phrase or PIN. It enables the holder of an <i>electronic identifier</i> to confirm that s/he is the person to whom the identifier was issued.
Resource Provider	A campus or other organization that makes on-line resources available to users based in part on information about them that it receives from other InCommon participants.